

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/774,657	Applicant(s) BLEDSOE, GARY R.	
	Examiner Kim M. Lewis	Art Unit 3772	

All Participants:

(1) Kim M. Lewis.

(2) Brian Harris (attorney).

Date of Interview: 9/25/08

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
28

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kim M. Lewis/ Primary Examiner, AU 3772

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner telephoned applicant's attorney in order to discuss the dependency of claim 28. During a return telephone interview, applicant's attorney indicated that claim 28 should depend from claim 27. The examiner indicated that the change would be made by an Examiner's Amendment.